
Summary Statistics		
Run ID	textranksegments	
Task	Summarization	
Run type	automatic	
Summarization model	Graph model	
Summarization method	Extractive	
Number of summaries	179	

Overall measures	5
Summaries rated "excellent"	3 (1.7%)
Summaries rated "good"	6(3.4%)
Summaries rated "fair"	44 (24.6%)
Summaries rated "bad"	$126 \ (70.4\%)$

ROUGE score compared to episode description			
	0.0830 (95%-conf.int. 0.07966 - 0.08687)		
ROUGE_L R score	0.1652 (95%-conf.int. 0.15937 - 0.17166)		
ROUGE_L F score	0.0930 (95%-conf.int. 0.09046 - 0.09595)		

Qualitative judgments		
Q1: Does the summary include names of the main people	22.3%	
(hosts, guests, characters) involved or mentioned in the		
podcast?		
Q2: Does the summary give any additional information	14.0%	
about the people mentioned (such as their job titles, bi-		
ographies, personal background, etc)?		
Q3: Does the summary include the main topic(s) of the	33.0%	
podcast?		
Q4: Does the summary tell you anything about the format		
of the podcast; e.g. whether it's an interview, whether it's		
a chat between friends, a monologue, etc?		
Q5: Does the summary give you mode context on the title		
of the podcast?		
Q6: Does the summary contain redundant information?		
Q7: Is the summary written in good English?		
Q8: Are the start and end of the summary good sentence		
and paragraph start and end points?		



Per-topic difference in rating from episode description